

On continuous solutions of a problem of R.Schilling

Janusz Morawiec

Dedicated to Professor János Aczél with best wishes on his 70th birthday

Abstract. It is proved that if

$$q \in \{(\sqrt{3}-1)/2, (3-\sqrt{5})/2, \sqrt{2}-1, (\sqrt{5}-1)/2\}$$

then the zero function is the only solution $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (1) satisfying (2) and right-hand-side or left-hand-side continuous at each point of the interval $(-q/(1-q), -q/(1-q) + \delta)$ or of the interval $(q/(1-q) - \delta, q/(1-q))$ with some $\delta > 0$.

Studies of a physical problem have led R.Schilling to the functional equation

$$(1) \quad f(qx) = \frac{1}{4q}[f(x-1) + f(x+1) + 2f(x)],$$

where q is a fixed number from the open interval $(0, 1)$, and to its solutions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(2) \quad f(x) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |x| > \frac{q}{1-q}.$$

In what follows any solution $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (1) satisfying (2) will be called a *solution of Schilling's problem*.

Except the cases $q \in \{2^{-\frac{1}{n}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ we do not know any nonzero solution of Schilling's problem. (If $q = 2^{-\frac{1}{n}}$ then the functions $f_1(x) = \max\{0, 1 - |x|\}$ and $f_n = f_1(x) * f_1(2^{-\frac{1}{n}}x) * \dots * f_1(2^{-\frac{n-1}{n}}x)$, respectively, are continuous solutions of this problem.) A partial explanation of this contains the following theorem of K.Baron and P.Volkman [3]: *The linear space of the Lebesgue integrable solutions of Schilling's problem is at most one-dimensional.* The same concerns Riemann integrable solutions of Schilling's problem (see [4; Corollary 3] by W.Förg-Rob). The reader interested in further results up-to-now obtained is referred to the wide paper [4] by W.Förg-Rob, [1] by K.Baron, [2] by K.Baron, A.Simon and P.Volkman and to [5] and [6].

It follows from [2; Théorème 4] by K.Baron, A.Simon and P.Volkman that for $q \in (0, 1/2)$ there are no nonzero continuous solutions of Schilling's problem. The same concerns the case $q = (\sqrt{5}-1)/2$ and the solutions which are integrable and continuous at zero (see [2; Théorème 5]).

In the present paper we are interested in solutions which are right-hand-side or left-hand-side continuous at each point of one of the intervals:

$$(-Q, -Q + \delta), \quad (Q - \delta, Q),$$

⁰1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39B12, 39B22.

⁰*Key words and phrases.* Schilling's problem, continuous functions.

where

$$Q = \frac{q}{1-q}$$

and δ is a positive real number, in the case where q is one of the numbers:

$$(3) \quad \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{2}, \quad \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad \sqrt{2}-1, \quad \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}.$$

We start with the following lemma which follows from [4; Lemmas 1(ii) and 2(ii)].

Lemma. *If a solutions of Schilling's problem vanishes either on an interval $(Q - \delta, Q]$ or on an interval $[-Q, -Q + \delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$, then it vanishes everywhere.*

Our main result reads as follows.

Theorem. *If q is one of the numbers (3), then any solution of Schilling's problem vanishes on the set $\mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let

$$q = \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{2}.$$

Then

$$(4) \quad 1 - 2q - 2q^2 = 0.$$

Denote by A_0 the set of all the numbers of the form

$$\varepsilon(m + 2nq), \quad \varepsilon(m + 1 + n - 2nq),$$

where $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ and m, n are non-negative integers, and put

$$A_n = \{\varepsilon(m - 2nq) : m \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}\} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$(5) \quad \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n = \mathbb{Z} + 2q\mathbb{Z}.$$

Using induction we shall show that

$$(6) \quad f(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in A_n$$

and for every non-negative integer n .

To get (6) for $n = 0$ let us observe (cf. also [5; Remark 2(ii)]) that $q < 1/2$ gives

$$(7) \quad f(0) = 0.$$

Further, if at least one of the non-negative integer m, n is positive then $m + 2nq \geq 2q > Q$ which jointly with (2) gives $f(\varepsilon(m + 2nq)) = 0$ for $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$. Moreover, if m and n are positive integers then $m + n - 2nq \geq 1 + (1 - 2q) > 1 > Q$ whence $f(\varepsilon(m + n - 2nq)) = 0$ for $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$. This proves that f vanishes on A_0 .

Fix now a positive integer n and assume that f vanishes on $A_0, \dots, A_{n-1}$. Let $x_0 \in A_n$. Then $x_0 = \varepsilon(m - 2nq)$ for some $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Hence and from (4) we get

$$x_0 = \varepsilon[(2q + 2q^2)m - 2nq] = 2q\varepsilon[(m - n) + mq].$$

Putting now $x = x_0/q$ into (1) we have

$$(8) \quad f(x_0) = \frac{1}{4q}[f(x-1) + f(x+1) + 2f(x)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4q} [f(\varepsilon[2(m-n)-1+2mq]) + f(\varepsilon[2(m-n)+1+2mq]) + 2f(\varepsilon[2(m-n)+2mq])].$$

Moreover, each of the points

$$\varepsilon[2(m-n)-1+2mq], \quad \varepsilon[2(m-n)+1+2mq], \quad \varepsilon[2(m-n)+2mq]$$

belongs to one of the sets A_0, A_m . Hence, if $n \geq 2$ and $m < n$, then using (8) and the induction hypothesis we obtain $f(x_0) = 0$. In particular,

$$(9) \quad f(\varepsilon(1-2nq)) = 0.$$

If $n \geq 2$ and $m = n$, then (8), (2) and (9) give

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{4q} f(\varepsilon(-1+2nq)) = 0.$$

Finally assume that $n = m = 1$. Then, according to (8) and (2),

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{4q} f(\varepsilon(-1+2q)),$$

i.e.,

$$f(\varepsilon(1-2q)) = \frac{1}{4q} f(\varepsilon(-1+2q)).$$

Consequently, since the above equality holds for every $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$,

$$f(\varepsilon(1-2q)) = \frac{1}{(4q)^2} f(\varepsilon(1-2q))$$

and so $f(x_0) = 0$. This ends the induction proof of (6) and jointly with (5) shows that

$$(10) \quad f \text{ vanishes on } \mathbb{Z} + 2q\mathbb{Z}.$$

To prove that f vanishes on $\mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z}$ let us observe that taking into account (4) we have

$$\mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z} = (2q + 2q^2)\mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z} \subset q(\mathbb{Z} + 2q\mathbb{Z})$$

which together with (1) and (10) ends the proof in the case where q is the first of the numbers (3).

Now we pass to the case

$$q = \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Observe that

$$(11) \quad 1 - 3q + q^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Q = 1 - q.$$

Denote now by A_1 the set of all the numbers of the form

$$\varepsilon(m+nq), \quad \varepsilon(m+1+n-(n+1)q),$$

where $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ and m, n are non-negative integers, and put

$$A_n = \{\varepsilon(m-nq) : m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}\} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

Then

$$(12) \quad \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z}.$$

We shall show that (6) holds for every positive integer n .

To obtain (6) for $n = 1$ let us notice that $1/4 \neq q < 1/2$ which jointly with the second part of (11) (cf. [5; Remarks 1 and 2]) gives

$$(13) \quad f(0) = f(1 - q) = f(-1 + q) = 0.$$

Moreover, if at least one of the non-negative integer m, n is positive then $m + n + 1 - (n + 1)q \geq 1 > Q$. Thus, according to (2), $f(\varepsilon(m + n + 1 - (n + 1)q)) = 0$ for $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$. Now observe that putting $x = \varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ into (1) and applying (2) and (13) we get $f(\varepsilon q) = 0$. Further, if $m \geq 1$ or $n \geq 2$, then $m + nq \geq 2q > Q$ which jointly with (2) shows that $f(\varepsilon(m + nq)) = 0$ for $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$. This proves that f vanishes on A_1 .

Fix now an integer $n \geq 2$ and assume that f vanishes on $A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}$. Let $x_0 \in A_n$. Then $x_0 = \varepsilon(m - nq)$ for some $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $m \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$. Hence and from (11) we get

$$x_0 = \varepsilon[(3q - q^2)m - nq] = q\varepsilon[(3m - n) - mq].$$

Putting now $x = x_0/q$ into (1), using the induction hypothesis and the inclusion $\varepsilon(\mathbb{Z} - mq) \subset A_m \cup A_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0) &= \frac{1}{4q}[f(x - 1) + f(x + 1) + 2f(x)] \\ &= \frac{1}{4q}[f(\varepsilon(3m - n - 1 - mq)) + f(\varepsilon(3m - n + 1 - mq)) + 2f(\varepsilon(3m - n - mq))]. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the induction proof of (6) and jointly with (12) shows that f vanishes on $\mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z}$.

Let us pass to the case

$$q = \sqrt{2} - 1$$

and notice that now

$$(14) \quad 1 - 2q - q^2 = 0.$$

Moreover, since $q < 1/2$, (7) holds. Consider the sets A_n introduced in the previous case. Now putting in (1) $x = \varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ and using (2) and (7) we obtain

$$(15) \quad f(\varepsilon q) = 0,$$

whereas putting in (1) $x = \varepsilon(1 + q)$ and using (2), (15) and (14) we have $f(\varepsilon(1 - q)) = 0$. Moreover, if $m \geq 1$ or $n \geq 2$, then $m + nq \geq 2q > Q$ which jointly with (2) gives $f(\varepsilon(m + nq)) = 0$ for $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$. Besides, if $m \geq 1$ or $n \geq 1$, then $m + n + 1 - (n + 1)q \geq 2 - 2q > 1 > Q$, and applying (2) we obtain $f(\varepsilon(m + n + 1 - (n + 1)q)) = 0$ for $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$. Thus f vanishes on A_1 . Now using induction and (14) (as we do it in the case above) we get that f vanishes on the set (12).

Finally we assume that

$$q = \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2}.$$

Observe that now

$$(16) \quad 1 - q - q^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \frac{1}{q} = 1 + q.$$

Denote by A_1 the set of all the numbers of the form

$$\varepsilon(m + nq), \quad \varepsilon(m + 1 + n - nq), \quad \varepsilon(1 - q),$$

where $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ and m, n are non-negative integers, and put

$$A_n = \{\varepsilon(m - nq) : m \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}\} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

Evidently (12) holds.

Now we shall show that (6) is fulfilled for $n = 1$. To this end notice first that $q \neq 1/4$, whence according to [5; Remarks 1 and 2(i)] and (16) we have

$$(17) \quad f(1+q) = f(-1-q) = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{if } m \geq 1 \text{ and } n \geq 1, \text{ then } m+nq \geq 1+q = Q, \\ &\text{if } n \geq 3, \text{ then } nq \geq 3q > Q \text{ and } n-q \geq 3-q > Q, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{if } m \geq 0 \text{ and } n \geq 2, \text{ then } m+1+n-nq \geq 3-2q > Q.$$

This jointly with (2) gives that f vanishes on the set

$$A_1 \setminus \{0, 1, -1, q, -q, 2q, -2q, 1-q, -1+q, 2-q, -2+q\}.$$

Putting in (1) in turn $x = 0$, $x = \varepsilon$, $x = \varepsilon/q$ with $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ and making use of (2), (17) and (16) we have

$$(18) \quad (4q-2)f(0) = f(\varepsilon) + f(-\varepsilon),$$

$$(19) \quad 4qf(\varepsilon q) = 2f(\varepsilon) + f(0),$$

$$(20) \quad 4qf(\varepsilon) = f(\varepsilon q).$$

Taking into account (19) and (20) we get

$$f(\varepsilon) = f(-\varepsilon)$$

and using (18), (19) and (20) again we obtain (after some calculations)

$$(21) \quad f(0) = f(1) = f(-1) = f(q) = f(-q) = 0.$$

Putting in (1): $x = 2\varepsilon$ and $x = \varepsilon(2/q - 1)$ with $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ and using (21), (2) and (16) we have

$$(22) \quad f(2q) = f(-2q) = f(2-q) = f(-2+q) = 0.$$

Finally putting $x = \varepsilon q$ into (1) and making use of (16), (17) and (21) we see that

$$f(1-q) = f(-1+q) = 0$$

which jointly with (21) and (22) gives that f vanishes on the whole of the set A_1 .

Fix now an $n \geq 2$ and assume that f vanishes on $A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}$. Let $x_0 \in A_n$. Then $x_0 = \varepsilon(m-nq)$ for some $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Hence and from (16) we get

$$x_0 = \varepsilon[(q+q^2)m-nq] = q\varepsilon[(m-n)+mq].$$

Putting now $x = x_0/q$ into (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (23) \quad f(x_0) &= \frac{1}{4q}[f(x-1) + f(x+1) + 2f(x)] \\ &= \frac{1}{4q}[f(\varepsilon[(m-n)-1+mq]) + f(\varepsilon[(m-n)+1+mq]) + 2f(\varepsilon[(m-n)+mq])] \end{aligned}$$

and each of the points

$$\varepsilon[(m-n)-1+mq], \quad \varepsilon[(m-n)+1+mq], \quad \varepsilon[(m-n)+mq]$$

belongs to one of the sets A_1, A_m . Hence, if $m < n$, then on account of the induction hypothesis we have $f(x_0) = 0$. In particular, $f(\varepsilon(1-nq)) = 0$. If $m = n$, then using (23), (2), (22) and the above fact we see that

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{4q}[f(\varepsilon(-1+nq)) + 2f(\varepsilon nq)] = 0$$

and the proof is completed.

Corollary. *If q is one of the numbers (3) then the zero function is the only solution of Schilling's problem which is either right-hand-side or left-hand-side continuous at each point of one of the intervals $(-Q, -Q + \delta)$, $(Q - \delta, Q)$ where δ is a positive real number.*

Acknowledgement. This research was supported by the State Committee for Scientific Research Grant No. 2 1062 91 01.

References

- [1] K. Baron, *On a problem of R.Schilling*. Berichte der Mathematisch-statistischen Sektion in der Forschungsgesellschaft Joanneum-Graz, Bericht Nr. 286 (1988).
- [2] K. Baron, A.Simon et P.Volkman, *Solutions d'une équation fonctionnelle dans l'espace des distributions tempérées*, Manuscript.
- [3] K. Baron et P.Volkman, *Unicité pour une équation fonctionnelle*, Rocznik Naukowo-Dydaktyczny WSP w Krakowie. Prace Matematyczne 13 (1993), 53-56.
- [4] W.Förg-Rob, *On a problem of R.Schilling*, Mathematica Pannonica, to appear.
- [5] J.Morawiec, *On bounded solutions of a problem of R.Schilling*, Annales Mathematicae Silesianae, to appear.
- [6] J.Morawiec, *On a problem of R.Schilling*, Report of Meeting: The Thirty-first International Symposium on Functional Equations (August 22 - August 28, 1993, Debrecn, Hungary), Aequationes Mathematicae 47(1994), 284-285.

Instytut Matematyki,
Uniwersytet Śląski,
ul. Bankowa 14,
PL-40-007 Katowice

Eingegangen am 24. Juni 1994